received by the Chief Labour Commissioner (Central), New Delhi from Parenanu Vidyut Karmachari Union 'CITU), Ravatbhata about recognition of a union without proper verification; and

(b) if so, the steps taken by the Chief Labour Commissioner to set right the said irregularity by the management?

THE MINISTER OF LABOUR AND WELFARE (SHRI RAM VILAS FASWAN); (a) Yes, Sir. The Chief Labour Commissioner (Central) had received a letter from the Hon'ble Member of Parliament forwarding a copy of the representation dated 18-11-89 from the ParmanU Vidyut Karmachari Union, Ravatbhata [regarding recognition of a union in the Rajasthan Atomic Power Project.

* (b) The Chief Labour Commissioner informed the Hon'ble Membe$_r$ of Parliament by his letter dated December 14, 1989 that since the establishment fell in the State sphere, the Hon'ble Member of Parliament might consider taking up the matter with the Government of Rajasthan.

Corruption in DRMs Office N.7, Railway Varanasi

4. SHRI SAMAR MUKHERJEE: Will the Minister of RAILWAYS be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have re ceived a number of representations from an employee of DRMs office, North Eastern Railway, Varanasi about alleged corruption in- DRMs office;

(b) whether action has been taken to impartially enquire into the corruption charges;

(c) if so, the details thereof;

(d) whether it is a fact that the complainant has been transferred for having pointed out the corruption; and I

(e) if sO, whether the transfer of the complainant is being cancelled?

THE MINISTER OF RAILWAYS! (SHRI GEORGE FERNANDES')- (a) and (b) Yes Sir.

(c) On investigation by the Vigilance Organisation certain lapses came to light. As a result thereof, disciplinary action was taken against an officer. He was also transferred,

(d) and (fe) No, Sir. The ttjmplaSn-ant was transferred out of Varanasi on administrative grounds. Nevertheless, instructions hav$_e$ been issued to the Railway to transfer him back; to that station..

Cadre review of Group c and D Staff of CPWD

5. SHRI SAMAR MUKHERJEE: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether cadre review in respect of Group A' and B' staff in the CPWD was completed long back;

(b) whether the question of restrace turing of cadre (Cadre review) in respect of Group C and D staff in the CPWD is pending since long causing burden on the existing staff and affecting their efficiency;

(c) if so, the reasons therefor; and

(d) the steps being taken by Goo ernment to expendite the cadre re. view in respect of Group C and D' staff?

THE MINISTER OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI MURASOLI MARAN): (a) The first cadre review in respect of the Central Engineering & Mechanical Services Group A in the CPWD was finalised in 1985. and that in respect of the Central Architect Service Group 'A' was finalised in 11987 and the relevant' Ser-vice Rules issued in October 1989. No cadre review in respect of the Group 'B' organised services in the CPWD has so far been conducted.

(b) to (d) Instructions for the periodic cadre review of Groups B, C and D employees were issued for the first time by the department of Personnel & Training on the 23rd November, 1987 *vide* their O.M. No. 21-87-PP. Accordingly, action has been initiated for the cadre reviews in respect of Groups B, C and D em ployees of the CPWD. Working Groups have been set up in the CPWD for formulating the Cadre Review pro posals. Prior to the issue of instruc tions by the Department of Personnel - and Training in November, 1987, cadre review of the Junior . Engineers in the CPWD had been completed in 1987.

6. *[Transferred to the* 27th Decem ber, 1989] ....

कृषि को उद्योग का दर्जा दिया जाना

7. **श्री सत्य प्रकाश मालवीय:** क्या कृषि मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या सरकार कृषि को उद्योग का दर्जा प्रदान करने का विचार रखती है ;

(ख) यदि हां तो इसका ब्यौरा क्या है ; और

(ग) यदि नहीं, तो क्या सरकार कृषि को उद्योग का दर्जा देने के लिए कोई योजना बनाने का विचार रखती है ?

उप-प्रधान मंत्री और कृषि मंत्री (श्री देवी लाल): (क) से (ग) इस समय किसी प्रस्ताव पर विचार नहीं किया जा रहा है । लेकिन, सरकार का आठवीं पंचवर्षीय योजना में कृषि क्षेत्र को उच्चतम प्राथमिकता देने का प्रस्ताव है ।

इलाहाबाद और नई दिल्ली के बीच सुपर फास्ट गाड़ी का चलाया जाना

8. **श्री सत्य प्रकाश मालवीय:** क्या रेल मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि

(क) क्या सरकार इलाहाबाद-नई दिल्ली-इलाहाबाद के बीच तेज रफ्तार की कोई गाड़ी चलाने का विचार रखती है जैसी कि नई दिल्ली-कानपुर-लखनऊ, नई दिल्ली-झांसी, नई दिल्ली-चंडीगढ़-नई दिल्ली के बीच चलाई गई है; और

(ख) यदि हां, तो इसके कब तक चलाये जाने की संभावना है ?

रेल मंत्री (श्री जार्ज फर्नांडीज): (क) जी नहीं ।

(ख) प्रश्न नहीं उठता ।

Accomulation of heavy losses by units of Fertilizer Corporation of India

9. SHRI BASUDEB MOHAPATRA: Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) Whether it is a fact that major units of Fertilizer Corporation of Indian working in different parts of the Country have accumulated heavy losses during the last three years;

(b) if so, the total loss incurred by each unit so far; and

:(c) what steps Government have taken to make the units profitable?

THE DEPUTY PRIME MINISTER AND THE MINISTER OF AGRICUL-TURE (SHRI DEVI LAL): (a) and (b) The details of profit/loss suffered by the 4 operating units of the Fertilizer Corporation of India Ltd. (FCI) during the last 3 years and the accumulated losses till 31-3-1988 are given below.—